rarmi

Cobalt(III)-Catalyzed C2-Selective C−H Alkynylation of Indoles

Zhuo-Zhuo Zhang, Bin Liu, Cai-Yun Wang, and Bing-Feng Shi*

Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-2-0)STRACT: [A cobalt\(III\)](#page-2-0)-catalyzed C-2 selective C−H alkynylation of indoles using hypervalent iodine-alkyne reagents is described. A broad range of synthetically useful functional groups $(-F, -Cl, -Br, -CO₂Me, -CN)$ were tolerated, providing an efficient and robust protocol for the

synthesis of C-2 alkynylated indoles. The pyrimidyl and silyl protecting groups could be easily removed to give the corresponding 2-ethynyl-1H-indole.

 $\prod_{\text{products, marked drugs, aprochemicals, fragments, and } \text{produces, marked drugs, aprochemicals, fragments, and } \text{non-const}$ products, marketed drugs, agrochemicals, fragrances, and material sciences.¹ Consequently, tremendous efforts have been devoted to the effective synthesis and functionalization of indoles.2 Over t[he](#page-2-0) past few decades, transition-metal-catalyzed C−H functionalization has emerged as an attractive, economical, a[nd](#page-2-0) environmentally benign alternative to the classical synthetic methods, allowing the expeditious formation of diverse indoles.³ In particular, the direct C−H functionalization of indoles has witnessed great success for the late-stage modi[f](#page-2-0)ication of the preexistent indole nucleus.⁴

Alkynylindoles are versatile building blocks in organic synthesis, pharmaceuticals, biochemistry, [a](#page-2-0)nd functional materials. However, compared with the well-studied arylation, alkylation, and vinylation, 5 the direct alkynylation of the indole nucleus is largely underexplored.^{6−11} The C3-selective alkynylation of indoles [w](#page-2-0)as first reported by Gu and coworkers using bromoalkynes and a Pd [catal](#page-2-0)yst in 2009.⁶ Shortly after, Waser reported a gold-catalyzed C3-selective alkynylation of indoles under different mechanisms, respectively.^{[7a](#page-2-0)} These reactions proceeded with electrophilic metalation at C3, due to the high nucleophilic reactivity at this position. In 20[10,](#page-2-0) the Li group reported a Pd-catalyzed C2-selective Heck−Cassar− Sonogashira type alkynylation of indoles with various terminal alkynes.⁸ However, only 3-methylindoles were employed as substrates. The C2 selective alkynylation of indoles that do not possess substituents at the C3-position is extremely difficult.^{9−11} Generally, two kinds of strategies have been employed to achieve the selectivity, including a postulated electrophilic met[alatio](#page-2-0)n at C3 followed by metal migration, 9 and the introduction of directing groups. 10 In 2013, Waser and coworkers reported the first example of Pd-cat[al](#page-2-0)yzed C2 alkynylation of indoles using al[kyn](#page-2-0)ylated hypervalent iodine reagents (TIPS-EBX), under typically very mild conditions (Scheme 1).⁹ In 2014, the Li research group described several excellent examples of the direct C2 alkynylation of these heterocyclic [c](#page-2-0)ompounds by Cp*Rh(III) catalysis with low a catalyst loading $(Scheme 1).$ ¹⁰ However, these established methods relied on the use of expensive second-row transitionmetal catalysts. Therefore, th[e](#page-2-0) development of C2-selective

Scheme 1. C2-Selective Alkynylation of Indoles

alkynylation of indoles with abundant first-row transition metals would be highly desirable yet challenging.

Recently, high-valent $Cp^*Co(III)$ catalysts have been identified as robust, powerful, and cheap metal catalysts in C−H alkylations, alkenylations, arylations, amidations, cyanations, and halogenations.^{12−17} However, to the best of our knowledge, Co(III)-catalyzed C−H alkynylation has not been realized. As part of our c[on](#page-2-0)[tin](#page-3-0)uing efforts on the synthesis of aryl alkynes via C−H alkynylation,¹⁸ we report herein a Cp^{*}Co(III)-catalyzed C−H alkynylation with TIPS-EBX¹⁹ to furnish C-2 alkynylated indoles under [sim](#page-3-0)ple and redox-neutral reaction conditions (Scheme 1). The pyrimidyl and [s](#page-3-0)ilyl protecting groups could be easily removed to give the corresponding 2-ethynyl-1H-indole.

Our initial investigation focused on the reaction of $(pyrimidin-2-yl)-1H-indole$ $(1a)$ and $1-[$ (triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl]-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1H)-one (TIPS-EBX) $(2a)$, which was first reported by Waser in the alkynylation of indoles with gold or palladium catalysis^{7,9,19} and further applied to Rh(III)or Ir(III)-catalyzed C−H alkynylation by Loh^{20} Li,^{10,21} and Glorius.²² N-Pyrimidyl w[as](#page-2-0) [se](#page-3-0)lected as a directing group to ensure the C2-selectivity.²³ The desired alkynyla[ted](#page-3-0) p[rod](#page-2-0)[uc](#page-3-0)t 3a was ob[tain](#page-3-0)ed in 5% yield when $[Cp*Co(CO)I_2]$ was used in the presence of silver flu[or](#page-3-0)ide and potassium acetate at 80 °C (Table 1, entry 1). Surprisingly, AgSbF₆, a well-known additive in Rh(III)-catalyzed C−H activation reactions, did not give any [desired](#page-1-0) product (entry 2). Next, various additives were

Received: July 16, 2015 Published: August 11, 2015

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions a

TIPS [Co] (5 mol %) 0.1 equiv AgF, additives CF ₃ CH ₂ OH, temp, 24 h, N ₂ 1a 2a 3a				
entry	[Co]	additives (equiv)	temp $(^{\circ}C)$	yield $(\%)^b$
1^c	$[CoCp*(CO)I_2]$	KOAc(1)	80	5
2^d	$[CoCp*(CO)I2]$	KOAc(1)	80	Ω
3	$[CoCp*(CO)I_2]$	$Mg(OCH_3)_2(1)$	80	35
4 ^c	$[CoCp*(CO)I_2]$	$Mg(OCH_3)$ ₂ (1)	100	45
5^c	$[CoCp*(CO)I_{2}]$	$Mg(OCH_3)$, (3)	100	78
6	$[CoCp*(CO)I_{2}]$	$Mg(OCH_3)_2(3)$	110	84^e
7		$Mg(OCH_3)$ ₂ (3)	110	Ω
8	$[Cp*,Co]PF_6$	$Mg(OCH_3)$ ₂ (3)	110	Ω

^aReaction conditions: 1a (0.1 mmol), 2a (0.12 mmol), $[CoCp* -]$ $(CO)I₂$] (5 mol %), $CF₃CH₂OH$ (1 mL), at temp (°C) for 24 h under nitrogen. $b^{1}H$ NMR yields using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard. ^c0.2 equiv of AgF. ^d0.2 equiv of AgSbF₆. ^cIsolated yield.

examined, and $Mg(OCH_3)_2$ was demonstrated to be effective, affording the desired product 3a in 35% yield (entry 3). Although the exact role of $Mg(OCH₃)₂$ is unclear at this stage, we hypothesized that it may activate $TIPS-EBX¹⁰$ A significant improvement in yield was observed by increasing the amount of $Mg(OCH₃)₂$ to 3.0 equiv and the temperature t[o 1](#page-2-0)00 °C (entry 5, 78%). The reaction proceeded better with a reduced AgF loading at 110 °C, affording the alkynylated product 3a in 84% isolated yield (entry 6). Control experiments revealed that the alkynylation did not occur in the absence of the [CoCp*- $(CO)I₂$] catalyst (entry 7). Commercially available $Co(III)$ salts, such as $[Cp*_2Co]PF_6$, did not promote the reaction (entry 8). Based on these optimization studies, we confirmed that 5 mol % $[CoCp^*(CO)I_2]$, 10 mol % AgF, and 3 equiv of $Mg(OCH₃)₂$ in CF₃CH₂OH at 110 °C offer the best conditions for this C−H alkynylation reaction (entry 6).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, the scope of this reaction was examined with various indoles. As shown in Figure 1, C2-selective alkynylation of indoles proceeded well to give the desired products irrespective of various substitution patterns on the phenyl ring. Both electron-donating and -withdrawing substituents, such as methoxy (3e and 4d), methyl (3g−3j, 4f), fluoro (3b and 4b), chloro (3c), bromo (3d and 4c), methoxycarbonyl (3f and 4e), and cyano (3k), were compatible with this protocol, providing alkynylindoles in moderate to good yields. However, with a 3-cyanoindole substrate, the reactivity decreased significantly, and the alkynylated product 3k was obtained in only 29% yield, perhaps because of the combination of both steric hindrance and strong electron deficiency. When the directing group was changed from N-pyrimidyl to N-pyridyl, the desired product 3l was obtained in comparable yield (3l, 50%). The TBDPS protected alkyne reagent, TBDPS-EBX, was also compatible with the optimized conditions, affording the corresponding products in moderate yields (4a−4f, 32−59% yields). Although only moderate yields were obtained in some cases, the starting materials could be recovered. Finally, we also examined other alkynylated hypervalent iodine reagents. The presence of the bulky silyl groups are essential for the success of the reaction, and no alkynylated products were observed when alkyl or aryl substituted alkynylation reagents were used. Given the ready

Figure 1. Substrate scope for cobalt-catalyzed C2-selective C−H alkynylation of indoles. Reaction conditions: 1a (0.1 mmol), 2a (0.12 mmol), $[CoCp*(CO)I₂]$ (5 mol %), $CF₃CH₂OH$ (1 mL), at 110 °C for 24 h under nitrogen. Isolated yields are presented.

removal of the silyl group and the versatility of the resulting terminal alkynes, we anticipated that synthetic applications via a desilylation/metal-mediated coupling sequence would be feasible.

To highlight the synthetic utility of this procedure, a 2 mmol scale reaction was conducted using 1a as substrate, and the reaction proceeded smoothly to give the alkynylated product 3a in 65% yield. Furthermore, the N-pyrimidyl directing group and triisopropylsilyl protecting group could be easily removed from 3a in one pot, 23 affording 2-ethynyl-1H-indole 5a in 70% yield (Scheme 2). Although the sterically bulky silyl groups are crucial for the [re](#page-3-0)action, the silyl groups can be easily removed to give the terminal alkyne, which could be used for further derivation.

Although the detailed mechansim is not clear, a plausible catalytic cycle based on related Rh(III)-catalyzed alkynylation was proposed in Scheme 3.^{10,20,21} Initially, the active Cp^*C o-

Scheme 2. Gram[-Scale Synth](#page-2-0)[esis](#page-3-0) and Removal of the Protecting Groups

(III) catalyst is generated in situ in the presence of AgF. Ortho-C−H activation affords cobaltacylce I, and subsequent oxidative addition of hypervalent iodine-alkyne reagent 2a leads to the formation of a putative $Co(V)$ alkynyl intermediate II. The alkynylated product 3a is afforded via reductive elimination followed by protonolysis of Co(III) intermediate III to give the active $Co(III)$ catalyst IV. However, a mechanistic pathway that involves transmetalation between cobaltacycle I and the hypervalent iodine-alkyne reagents cannot be excluded at this stage.¹⁰

In conclusion, we have observed the cobalt(III) catalyzed C2-selective alkynylation of indoles with alkynylated hypervalent iodine reagents. The reaction demonstrates good functional group compatibility. Further, the pyrimidyl directing group and triisopropylsilyl protecting group can be removed easily in one pot, providing a useful tool for the synthesis of diverse 2-ethynyl-1H-indoles.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02038.

Experimental details and spectral data for all new compounds (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: bfshi@zju.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the National Basic Research Program of China (2015CB856600), the NSFC (21422206, 21272206), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and Zhejiang Provincial NSFC (LZ12B02001) is gratefully acknowledged.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Sundberg, R. J. Indoles; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 1996. (b) Somei, M.; Yamada, F. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2005, 22, 73. (c) Kochanowska-Karamyan, A. J.; Hamann, M. T. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 4489. (d) Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, PR215. (2) Joule, J. A. Indoles and its derivatives. In Science of Synthesis (Houben-Weyl Methods of Molecular Transformations); Thomas, E. J., Ed.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 2000; Vol. 10, Chapter 10.13.

(3) For selected reviews, see: (a) Zeng, M.; You, S.-L. Synlett 2010, 2010, 1289. (b) Bandini, M.; Eichholzer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9608. (c) Guo, T.; Huang, F.; Yu, L.; Yu, Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 296.

(4) For selected reviews, see: (a) Lebrasseur, N.; Larrosa, I. In Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry; Katritzky, A. R., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2012; Vol. 105, p 309. (b) Beck, E. M.; Gaunt, M. J. Top. Curr. Chem. 2010, 292, 85. (c) Ackermann, L. Synlett 2007, 2007, 507. (5) For selected examples, see: (a) Grimster, N. P.; Gauntlett, C.; Godfrey, C. R. A.; Gaunt, M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3125. (b) Wang, X.; Lane, B. S.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 4996. (c) Lane, B. S.; Brown, M. A.; Sames, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 8050. (d) Deprez, N. R.; Kalyani, D.; Krause, A.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4972. (e) Stuart, D. R.; Fagnou, K. Science 2007, 316, 1172. (f) Lebrasseur, N.; Larrosa, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2926. (g) Phipps, R. J.; Grimster, N. P.; Gaunt, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8172. (h) Garcia-Rubia, A.; Arrayas, R. G.; Carretero, J. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6511. (i) Yan, G. B.; Kuang, C. X.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. B. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1052. (j) Potavathri, S.; LeBris, A. P.; DeBoef, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 14676. (k) Zhou, J.; Hu, P.; Zhang, M.; Huang, S.; Wang, M.; Su, W. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 5876. (l) Liang, Z.; Yao, B.; Zhang, Y. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3185. (m) Ackermann, L.; Dell'Acqua, M.; Fenner, S.; Vicente, R.; Sandmann, R. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2358. (n) Jiao, L.; Bach, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12990. (o) Xu, S.; Huang, X.; Hong, X.; Xu, B. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4614. (p) Ding, Z. H.; Yoshikai, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4698. (q) Kandukuri, S. R.; Schiffner, J. A.; Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1265. (r) Wang, Z.; Li, K.; Zhao, D.; Lan, J.; You, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5365. (s) Qin, X.; Liu, H.; Qin, D.; Wu, Q.; You, J. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 1964. (6) Gu, Y.; Wang, X.-M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 763.

(7) (a) Brand, J. P.; Charpentier, J.; Waser, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9346. (b) Brand, J. P.; Chevalley, C.; Scopelliti, R.; Waser, J. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 5655. (c) Brand, J. P.; Chevalley, C.; Waser, J. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2011, 7, 565. (d) Haro, T.; Nevado, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1512. (e) Shang, M.; Wang, H.-L.; Sun, S.-Z.; Dai, H.-Xo; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 11590.

(8) Yang, L.; Zhao, L.; Li, C. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4184. (9) Tolnai, G. L.; Ganss, S.; Brand, J. P.; Waser, J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 112.

(10) Xie, F.; Qi, Z.; Yu, S.; Li, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 4780. (11) Jie, X.; Shang, Y.; Hu, P.; Su, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3630.

(12) For recent reviews on cobalt catalysts for C−H bond functionalization, see: (a) Yoshikai, N. ChemCatChem 2015, 7, 732. (b) Yoshikai, N.; Gao, K. Pure Appl. Chem. 2014, 86, 419. (c) Kulkarni, A.; Daugulis, O. Synthesis 2009, 4087. (d) Ackermann, L. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 8948. (e) Gao, K.; Yoshikai, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1208.

(13) (a) Sun, B.; Yoshino, T.; Matsunaga, S.; Kanai, M. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 4659. (b) Ikemoto, H.; Yoshino, T.; Sakata, K.; Matsunaga, S.; Kanai, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5424. (c) Sun, B.; Yoshino, T.; Matsunaga, S.; Kanai, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 1491. (d) Yoshino, T.; Ikemoto, H.; Matsunaga, S.; Kanai, M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 9142. (e) Yoshino, T.; Ikemoto, H.; Matsunaga, S.; Kanai, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2207.

(14) (a) Li, J.; Ackermann, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 8551. (b) Li, J.; Ackermann, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3635.

(15) (a) Zhao, D.; Kim, J. H.; Stegemann, L.; Strassert, C. A.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 4508. (b) Yu, D.-G.;

Organic Letters Letters **Letters**

Gensch, T.; de Azambuja, F.; Vasquez-Cespedes, S.; Glorius, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 17722.

(16) (a) Hummel, J. R.; Ellman, J. A. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2400. (b) Hummel, J. R.; Ellman, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 490.

(17) (a) Pawar, A. B.; Chang, S. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 660. (b) Patel, P.; Chang, S. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 853.

(18) (a) Liu, Y.-H.; Liu, Y.-J.; Yan, S.-Y.; Shi, B.-F. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 11650. (b) Liu, Y.-J.; Liu, Y.-H.; Yan, S.-Y.; Shi, B.-F. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 6388. (c) Liu, Y.-J.; Liu, Y.-H.; Yin, X.-S.; Gu, W.- J.; Shi, B.-F. Chem. - Eur. J. 2015, 21, 205.

(19) For a representative review, see: (a) Brand, J. P.; Waser, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 4165. For selected examples, see: (b) Li, Y.; Brand, J. P.; Waser, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 6743. (c) Brand, J. P.; Waser, J. Synthesis 2012, 44, 1155. (d) Nicolai, S.; Piemontesi, C.; Waser, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4680.

(20) (a) Wang, H.; Xie, F.; Qi, Z.; Li, X. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 920. (b) Zhang, X.; Qi, Z.; Gao, J.; Li, X. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 9329.

(21) (a) Feng, C.; Loh, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2722. (b) Feng, C.; Feng, D.; Luo, Y.; Loh, T.-P. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5956.

(c) Feng, C.; Feng, D.; Loh, T.-P. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 9865.

(22) Collins, K.; Lied, F.; Glorius, F. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 4459. (23) (a) Ackermann, L.; Lygin, A. V. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3332.

(b) Song, W.; Ackermann, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8251. (c) Xu, S.; Huang, X.; Hong, X.; Xu, B. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4614.